() R1 () R2 () R3 (X) PG0 () PG1 () Estagiário () Tecnólogo () PIBIC Last Name - Torres First Name - Rogil Middle - José ded Almeida

Service (sector) Retina and Vitreous N° CEP

Rogil José de Almeida Torres / Cristina Muccioli / Antonio Marcelo Barbante Casella Objective: The objective of this study is to evaluate the safety. effectiveness and cost of theangiofluoresceinographic examination by using both the least amount of dye as well as digital equipment along with a laserphotocopier. Methods: Prospective and comparative study carried out in a group of 70 patients, which underwent anangiographic evaluation with a conventional retinographer injecting 5 ml of sodic fluorescein at 10% (controlgroup) as well as a group of 70 patients which underwent an angiographic evaluation with a digital retinographer injecting 2 ml of fluorescein at 10% (study group). The arterial pressure, heart beat frequency and oximetry were assessed prior to and after the dyeinjection. Organic reactions related to the examination were reported. The photograph quality as well as cost between the two techniques were compared. Results: The control group patients showed a greaterincrease in systolic and diastolic arterialpressure. Heart beat frequency, oximetrymeasurement and adverse reactions did not showany significant statistic differences between both groups. As for the quality ofphotographs, a better performance was noticed in the control group. As for the cost, the examination carried out in the study group required lower cost and thus savedaround 54.8% per examination in relation to the control group. Conclusion: The examination carried outwith a lower dose of fluorescein using digitaleguipment along with alaser photocopier provided greater stability in the systolicand diastolic arterialpressure. However, it did not have any influence on heart beatfrequency, oximetry or adversereactions such as nausea, vomiting, syncope and rashes. Thequality of photographswas poor although they enabled diagnosis as well astherapyfollow-up to those whocarried out the examination. Moreover, economically the aboveprocedure represented again of 66,26%, against 25.81% in relation to the conventional equipment.

ANGIOGRAPHY: SAFETY XECONOMY